



September 2009

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY ISSUES  
MAJOR CHANGES TO REMIC RULES:  
WILL IMPACT THE TYPES OF MODIFICATIONS THAT CAN  
BE MADE TO LOANS SECURED BY COMMERCIAL  
PROPERTY

In this Issue:

1  
*New REMIC Regulations*

5  
*Revenue Procedure  
2009-45*

6  
*Conclusion*

The Department of the Treasury recently issued important new rules addressing the types of modifications that may be made to loans held by REMICs. First, the Treasury Department released new final REMIC regulations that will significantly expand the types of modifications permitted to be made to loans held by a REMIC. Second, the Treasury Department issued Revenue Procedure 2009-45, which clarifies the servicer's analysis when allowing for certain modifications, relying on the "reasonably foreseeable default" exception contained in the REMIC Regulations.

These changes are extremely important to both servicers and borrowers because they now allow modifications to loans that previously have been prohibited. Borrowers and servicers will no longer be constrained by antiquated REMIC rules and will now have more flexibility when structuring loan modifications.

## I. New REMIC Regulations

The newly issued REMIC Regulations affect modifications to loans that are made on or after September 16, 2009. These “final” REMIC Regulations significantly expand the allowable modifications to a qualified mortgage that are allowed.

### Background

Section 860D(a)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”) requires that substantially all of the assets of a REMIC must consist of qualified mortgages and permitted investments as of the close of the third month beginning after the startup day of the REMIC and at all times thereafter. A “qualified mortgage” is “any obligation which is principally secured by an interest in real property.”

If an obligation is significantly modified (as analyzed under Section 1001 of the Code) and the modified obligation is not a “qualified replacement mortgage,” then the modified obligation will not be a “qualified mortgage” and the deemed disposition of the unmodified obligation will be a “prohibited transaction” subject to federal tax and could cause the REMIC to lose its REMIC status.

The REMIC Regulations contain specific exceptions, however, providing that even certain modifications that are significant modifications under Section 1001 of the Code will not result in a deemed exchange for REMIC purposes. The “final” REMIC Regulations add to these exceptions and are discussed below.

### Lien Releases and Modifications to Collateral

#### Old Rule:

A REMIC could not release, substitute, add, or otherwise alter substantially all the collateral for an obligation (generally 10 to 20 percent), unless the modification was "occasioned by a default or reasonably foreseeable default" or pursuant to a defeasance.

#### New Rule:

In addition to the old rule, a REMIC can now release, substitute, add, or otherwise alter any portion (including a substantial portion) of the collateral for, guarantee on, or other form of credit enhancement contract for an obligation if the obligation continues to be principally secured (as defined below) by an interest in real property.

### **Definition of Principally Secured:**

The loan must be “retested” to determine if it remains principally secured by an interest in real property. There are two tests for determining whether or not an obligation is principally secured by an interest in real property.

**1. The fair market value of the interest in real property securing the obligation, determined as of the date of the modification, must be at least 80 percent of the adjusted issue price of the modified obligation, determined as of the date of the modification.**

If, as of the date of the modification, the servicer reasonably believes that the obligation satisfies the test, then the obligation is deemed to do so. A reasonable belief does not exist, however, if the servicer actually knows, or has reason to know, that the test is not satisfied. For these purposes, a servicer must base a reasonable belief on --

- (A) A current appraisal performed by an independent appraiser;
- (B) An appraisal that was obtained in connection with the origination of the obligation and, if appropriate, that has been updated for the passage of time and for any other changes that might affect the value of the interest in real property;
- (C) The sales price of the interest in real property in the case of a substantially contemporary sale in which the buyer assumes the seller's obligations under the mortgage; or
- (D) Some other commercially reasonable valuation method.

### **The Supplement to the “final” Regulations provides the following example:**

For purposes of retesting with respect to alterations to real property collateral, the transaction causing the alteration is looked at in its entirety in determining the value of the real property collateral. For example, if, as part of an overall plan to make improvements to real property collateral that secures a mortgage loan, a borrower demolishes an existing building and constructs a new building on that real property, the fair market value of the real property collateral is determined by taking into account both the demolition of the existing building and the construction of the new building.

**2. If the first test is not satisfied, the fair market value of the interest in real property that secures the obligation immediately after the modification must equal or exceed the fair market value of the interest in real property that secured the obligation immediately before the modification.**

This test must be established by a current appraisal, an original (and updated) appraisal, or some other commercially reasonable valuation method, and the servicer must not actually know, or have reason to know, that this test is not satisfied.

The following example from the “final” Regulations illustrates the principally secured tests:

**Facts:**

S services mortgage loans that are held by R, a REMIC. Borrower B is the issuer of one of the mortgage loans held by R. The original amount of B’s mortgage loan was \$100,000, and the loan was secured by real property X. At the time the loan was contributed to R, property X had a fair market value of \$90,000. Sometime after the loan was contributed to R, B experienced financial difficulties such that it was reasonably foreseeable that B might default on the loan if the loan was not modified. Accordingly, S altered various terms of B’s loan to substantially reduce the risk of default. The alterations included the release of the lien on property X and the substitution of real property Y for property X as collateral for the loan. At the time the loan was modified, its adjusted issue price was \$100,000. The fair market value of property X immediately before the modification (as determined by a commercially reasonable valuation method) was \$70,000, and the fair market value of property Y immediately after the modification (as determined by a commercially reasonable valuation method) was \$75,000.

**Analysis:**

The modified loan does not satisfy the first test of this section because property Y is worth less than \$80,000 (the amount equal to 80 percent of the adjusted issue price of the modified mortgage loan). The modified loan, however, satisfies the second test because the fair market value of the interest in real estate (real property Y) that secures the obligation immediately after the modification (\$75,000) exceeds the fair market value of the interest in real estate (real property X) that secured the obligation immediately before the modification (\$70,000). Accordingly, the modified loan continues to be principally secured by an interest in real property.

### Changes from Nonrecourse to Recourse and Vice Versa

**Old Rule:**

Generally, changes in the nature of an obligation from recourse (or substantially all recourse) to nonrecourse (or substantially all nonrecourse) and vice versa are significant modifications.

**New Rule:**

The “final” Regulations confirm that changes in the nature of an obligation from nonrecourse (or substantially all nonrecourse) to recourse (or substantially all recourse) and vice versa are permitted so long as the obligation continues to be principally secured by an interest in real property (using the tests described above).

## II. Revenue Procedure 2009-45

Certain loan modifications are not significant modifications for purposes of the REMIC regulations, even if they are significant modifications under Section 1001 of the Code. Specifically, if a change in the terms of an obligation is "occasioned by default or a reasonably foreseeable default," the change is not a significant modification for purposes of the REMIC regulations. This Revenue Procedure describes how this exception may be used. It applies to all modifications occurring on or after January 1, 2008.

### Old Analysis:

To make modifications to an obligation that would otherwise be significant modifications, the modifications must be "occasioned by default or a reasonably foreseeable default." In analyzing this, all the facts and circumstances of each case are taken into account, but generally it is required that the default be imminent or not performing (as noted in the Revenue Procedure).

### New Analysis:

**If, based on all the facts and circumstances, the holder or servicer reasonably believes that there is a significant risk of default of the loan upon maturity of the loan or at an earlier date, a modification may be made.**

This reasonable belief must be based on a diligent contemporaneous determination of that risk, which may take into account credible written factual representations made by the borrower if the REMIC or servicer neither knows nor has reason to know that such representations are false. In a determination of the significance of the risk of a default, one relevant factor is how far in the future the possible default may be. There is no maximum period, however, after which default is per se not foreseeable. For example, in appropriate circumstances, the REMIC or servicer may reasonably believe that there is a significant risk of default even though the foreseen default is more than one year in the future. Similarly, although past performance is another relevant factor for assessing default risk, in appropriate circumstances, the REMIC or servicer may reasonably believe that there is a significant risk of default even if the loan is performing.

### **Example from Revenue Procedure 2009-45 illustrating the scope of this rule:**

#### **Facts:**

As part of its business, S services mortgage loans that are held by R, a REMIC. Borrower B is the issuer of one of the mortgage loans held by R. B's mortgage loan is non-amortizing, and thus the entire principal amount is due upon maturity. The real property securing B's mortgage loan is an office building. All of B's required payments on the mortgage loan have been timely, and the loan is not scheduled to mature for another 12 months. B expects that in order to repay the loan when it matures, B will have to refinance the maturing mortgage loan into a newly issued mortgage loan. There are factors, however, that indicate that

refinancing options may be unavailable to B at the time the mortgage loan matures. These factors include either or both of the following: current economic conditions in the relevant credit markets, and the current market value of the real property securing the loan. B provides a written factual representation to S showing that B will probably not be able to repay or refinance the mortgage loan at maturity. S neither knows, nor has reason to know, that the representation is false.

Based on all the facts and circumstances and a diligent contemporaneous determination, S reasonably believes that, if the loan to B is not modified, there is a significant risk of default by B upon maturity of the mortgage loan. Therefore, S and B agree to modify the mortgage loan by extending its maturity and increasing the interest rate. S reasonably believes that this modification reduces the risk of default. The modification is a significant modification under Section 1.1001-3(e) of the Treasury Department Regulations. The modification occurs after the effective date of this revenue procedure.

### **Analysis:**

S reasonably believed that the pre-modification loan presented a significant risk of default and that the modification substantially reduced that risk. Accordingly, the modification is within the scope of this revenue procedure.

## Conclusion

These changes and clarifications should help the industry better manage collateralized debt and provide more clarity to servicers in a time when modifications to loans are especially needed. Undoubtedly, however, these changes will bring new issues to the forefront.

For more information on these changes and how they may affect you, please contact any of the attorneys listed below or any other Polsinelli Shughart attorney.

William J. Sanders,  
*Chair, Tax and Business Planning Practice Group*  
[wsanders@polsinelli.com](mailto:wsanders@polsinelli.com) or (816) 360-4240

Daniel J. Flanigan  
*Chair, Financial Services and Real Estate Department*  
[dflanigan@polsinelli.com](mailto:dflanigan@polsinelli.com) or (816) 360-4260

Kraig M. Kohring  
*Chair, Commercial Lending, Capital Markets and Project Finance Practice Group*  
[kkohring@polsinelli.com](mailto:kkohring@polsinelli.com) or (816) 360-4163

Erik R. Edwards  
*Tax and Business Planning Practice Group*  
[eedwards@polsinelli.com](mailto:eedwards@polsinelli.com) or (816) 360-4128

John F. Crawford  
*Tax and Business Planning Practice Group*  
[jcrawford@polsinelli.com](mailto:jcrawford@polsinelli.com) or (816) 572-4476

**T**his is an important update from the Tax and Business Planning Group. We provide these e-Alerts periodically to keep our clients, taxpayers and businesses updated on recently adopted legislation and key changes in tax laws.

## About Polsinelli Shughart's Tax and Business Planning Group

---

All companies, organizations and individuals can expect to encounter issues in the tax arena. The Tax Group of Polsinelli Shughart PC provides creative solutions and legal guidance on international, federal, state and local tax laws to entities in all major industries and tax status classifications. Our attorneys partner with our clients to develop business solutions for both short-term and long-term planning.

The Tax Group's reputation is built on its skills in sound and effective planning and in-depth analysis, particularly in complex tax matters involving diverse businesses. Our attorneys pride themselves on innovative thinking and expertise in structuring business formation, combinations, reorganizations, mergers and acquisitions, and liquidations in the most tax-advantageous manner. To learn more about our services, visit us online at [www.polsinelli.com](http://www.polsinelli.com).

If you know of anyone who you believe would like to receive our e-mail updates, or if you would like to be removed from our e-distribution list, please contact Sarah Blair via e-mail at [sblair@polsinelli.com](mailto:sblair@polsinelli.com).

*Polsinelli Shughart PC provides this material for informational purposes only. The material provided herein is general and is not intended to be legal advice. Nothing herein should be relied upon or used without consulting a lawyer to consider your specific circumstances, possible changes to applicable laws, rules and regulations and other legal issues. Receipt of this material does not establish an attorney-client relationship.*

*The following legend is affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice. Any U.S. Federal tax advice contained in this communication (whether distributed by mail, e-mail, fax, or other means) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person for the purposes of (1) avoiding U.S. Federal tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein, whether an entity, investment plan or other transaction.*

*Polsinelli Shughart is very proud of the results we obtain for our clients, but you should know that past results do not guarantee future results; that every case is different and must be judged on its own merits; and that the choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements.*

*Polsinelli Shughart® is a registered trademark of Polsinelli Shughart PC.*

## About Polsinelli Shughart PC



With more than 480 attorneys, Polsinelli Shughart PC is a national law firm that is a recognized leader in the areas of business litigation, financial services, bankruptcy, real estate, business law, labor and employment, construction, life sciences and health care. Serving corporate, institutional and individual clients regionally, nationally and worldwide, Polsinelli Shughart is known for successfully applying forward-thinking strategies for both straightforward and complex legal matters. The firm can be found online at [www.polsinelli.com](http://www.polsinelli.com).